

## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHARMACY & LIFE SCIENCES (Int. J. of Pharm. Life Sci.)

# Efficacy of selected insecticides and neem oilagainst shoot and fruit borer (*Eariasvittella* Fab.) on okra (*Abelmoschus*

**esculentus** L.) Neha Srivastava<sup>1</sup>\*, Arvind Kumar Srivastava<sup>2</sup>, Alok Mukerjee<sup>2</sup>, Paras Gupta<sup>2</sup> and Sunil Kumar Singh<sup>2</sup>

1, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Naini, Allahabad, (UP) - India 2, United Institutes of Pharmacy, UPSIDC Industrial Area, Naini, Allahabad, (UP) - India

#### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of selected insecticides and neem oil against shoot and fruit borer (*Eariasvittella*Fab.) pest of okra at the experimental field of Department of Plant Protection, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHIATS), Allahabad in kharif season of July to November 2011-2012. Seven treatments including control with three replications were taken up using RBD. Foliar spray of insecticides viz.imidacloprid @ 0.3ml/l,cypermethrin @ 0.5ml/l,emamectin benzoate @ 0.4g/l,neem oil @1ml/l,spinosad@ 0.3ml/l, quinalophos @ 0.3ml/l were given at an interval of 5, 10 and 15 days while check plots were sprayed with water.Spinosad@ 0.3ml/l proved superior against the larval infestation of shoot and fruit damage percent of fruit borer as compared to other treatment due to *Eariasvittella*Fab.comparison to untreated control.

Key-Words: Insecticides, Neem oil, Shoot and Fruit borer, Okra

#### Introduction

Okra (Abelmoschusesculentus L.) commonly known as lady's finger (Bhindi) belongs to the family Malvaceae. It is a popular fruit vegetable crop (Meenaet al., 2011). It is a major vegetable being cultivated all over India with a major share in the states of Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Karnataka, Gujarat and Maharashtra (Shindeet al., 2007). The major notorious pest of okra is shoot and fruit borer (Eariasvittella Fab.) having endemic nature ,inflict direct losses in yield of marketable fruit. The losses in the yield of okra by fruit were 69.0 percent (Rawat and Sahu,1973).Krishnaiah (1980) reported that losses in okra due to fruit borer (E.vittellaFab.) was 49 to 74 percent. The damage to the crop is done by two ways. First, the terminal portions of growing shoots are bored by the caterpillars, which move down by making tunnels inside. As a result, the shoots droop downward or dry up. Second, the larvae enter the fruits by making holes, rendering them unfit for human consumption (Gopalanet al., 2007). A number of insecticides and bio-pesticides have been found effective and recommended to control this insect (Meenaet al., 2011).

\* Corresponding Author

Botanicals like neem (*Azardirachtaindica*) possess desired properties like antifeedant, growth inhibitor, repellent andmoulting disruptor against insect.Keeping the above in view, an experiment was conducted to evaluate the efficacy of some insecticides and neem oil against larval infestation of shoot and fruit borer(*Eariasvittella*Fab.) on okra.



#### **Material and Methods**

The trial was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications and seven treatments including check in the experimental field of Department of Plant Protection, Sam Higginbottom Institute of





## Research Article CODEN (USA): IJPLCP

Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHIATS), Allahabad in Kharif season of 2011-2012. Each replication consisted of 21 plots of  $2 \times 1 \text{ m}^2$  each. The pea crop cv. "ArkaAnamika" was sown in July with a spacing of  $60 \times 45$  cm. Fertilizers NPK (120:70:60 kg/ha) were applied as per recommended dose. Foliar spray of six insecticides viz. Imidacloprid 200 SL@ 0.3ml/l, Cypermethrin 25 EC @ 0.5ml/l, emamectin benzoate 25 WG @ 0.4g/l, neem oil @ 1ml/l, spinosad 45 SC @ 0.3ml/l and quinalophos25 EC @ 0.3 ml/l as per treatment was applied at the onset of larvae.First spray was done with the initiation of shoot borer (55 DAS) and second spray was given at the initiation of fruit infestation (75 DAS). Theshoot borer population and fruit borer infestation was recorded randomly on five selected tagged plants one day before and 5, 10, and 15 days after treatment. The infection and yield data was subjected to the statistical analysis. Cost benefit ratio was calculated by considering additional cost and benefit (compared to control) in the respective treatments.



#### **Results and Discussion** Shoot borer

The data on surviving larval population (Table 1) indicated that the differences in larval population of shootborer at 5, 10 and 15days after spray(DAS)were significant. All the insecticides recorded significantly lower larval population than untreated control.Spinosad 45SC @ 0.03 ml/l significantly minimized the larval population at 5, 10 and 15 DAS. The mean larval population under spinosad 45 SC @ 0.3 ml/l (0.33, 0.27 & 0.13 larva/5 plants), followed bv 25 WG 0.4g/lemamectinbenoate @ (0.40,0.33&0.20larva/5 plants), cypermethrin 25 EC @ 0.5ml/l (0.47, 0.40 & 0.27larva/5 plants), imidacloprid 200SL @ 0.3ml/1 (0.53, 0.47 & 0.33larva/5 plants), quinalphos 25WP @ 0.3ml/1 (0.60, 0.53 & 0.40 larva/5 plants) and neem oil (0.73, 0.60 & 0.53 larva/5 plants) as against untreated control of (0.93, 1.00 & 1.07larva/5 plants). These findings are in agreement with Shinde*et al.* (2007), Sharma *et al.* (2007), Mane *et al.* (2010) and Galvan *et al.* (2005)they also reported that spinosadwas the most effective insecticide.

#### Fruit borer

The data on fruit damage due to fruit borer in various spray schedules are presented in table 2 the treatment spinosad 45SC @ 0.03 ml/l significantly minimized the fruit damage (%) at 5, 10 and 15 DAS. The mean fruit damage (%) under spinosad 45 SC @ 0.3 ml/l (20.85, 23.15 & 23.72%) gave the best protection followed by emamectinbenoate 25 WG @ 0.4g/l (21.64, 26.19&28.27%), cypermethrin 25 EC @ 0.5ml/l ( 22.63, 28.11 & 30.25%), imidacloprid 200SL @ 0.3ml/l (24.85, 29.92 & 31.351%), quinalphos 25WP @ 0.3ml/l (25.43, 30.89 & 33.36%) and neem oil (27.31, 31.44 & 35.88 %) as against untreated control of (33.11, 35.24& 40.88%). These findings are in agreement with Shindeet al. (2007), Sharma et al. (2007), Mane et al. (2010) and Galvan et al. (2005). They also reported that spinosad was the most effective insecticide.Gupta et al. (2005) reported that spinosad protected the cotton crop with minimum incidence of spotted bollworm leading to increased seed cotton production.

The cost benefit ratio (CBR) in various treatments raged from 1:2.73 to 1:1.68. The highest CBR (1:2.73) was observed in the treatment of Emamectin benzoate 25 WG. It was followed by the Cypermethrin 25 EC (1:2.61), Quinalphos 25 EC (1:2.48), Spinosad 45 SC (1:2.02), imidacloprid 200 SL (1:2.02), Neem oil (1:2.00) and compression to control (1:1.68). The highest marketable fruit yield (85.71q/ha) but less CBR (1:2.02) was obtained due to the high cost of spinosad. Shinde (2005), Basavaraj and Gupta (2006) and Mane*et al.* (2010) recorded higher yield of good quality seed cotton from the plots protected byspinosad and the cost benefit ratio was more in Emamectin benzoate followed by spinosad.

### © Sakun Publishing House (SPH): IJPLS 4097



Treatment	Conc.	Mean larval population					
	(%)	1DBS	5 DAS	10 DAS	15 DAS		
T <sub>1</sub> Imidaclopried	0.3ml/l	0.60	0.53	0.47	0.33		
T <sub>2</sub> Cypermethrin	0.5ml/l	0.60	0.47	0.40	0.27		
T <sub>3</sub> Emamectin	0.4g/l	0.67	0.40	0.33	0.20		
benzoate							
T <sub>4</sub> Neem oil	1ml/l	0.73	0.73	0.60	0.53		
T <sub>5</sub> Spinosad	0.3ml/l	0.53	0.33	0.27	0.13		
T <sub>6</sub> Quinalphos	0.3ml/l	0.60	0.60	0.53	0.40		
T0 control	-	0.87	0.93	1.00	1.07		
CD (P=0.05)			0.18	0.09	0.17		
S.Ed±			0.08	0.20	0.08		
CV%			18.49	21.91	22.50		
Result		NS	S	S	S		

#### Table 1 Efficacy of insecticides and neem oil against *Eariasvittella*Fab.larval population on okra

Table 2: Efficacy of insecticides and neem oil against *Eariasvittella*Fab.fruit damage percent on okra

Treatment	Conc. (%)	Fruit damage percent						
		1 DBS	5 DAS	10 DAS	15 DAS	Benefit cost		
						ratio		
$T_1$	0.3ml/l	20.13	24.85	29.92	31.35	1:2.02		
Imidaclopride								
T <sub>2</sub>	0.5ml/l	16.13	22.63	28.11	30.25	1:2.61		
Cypermethrin								
T <sub>3</sub> Emamectin	0.4g/l	18.31	21.64	26.19	28.27	1:2.73		
benzoate								
T <sub>4</sub> Neem oil	1ml/l	19.09	27.31	31.44	35.88	1:2.00		
T <sub>5</sub> Spinosad	0.3ml/l	20.66	20.85	23.15	23.72	1:2.02		
T <sub>6</sub> Quinalphos	0.3ml/l	19.95	25.43	30.89	33.36	1:2.48		
T0 control	-	20.13	33.11	35.24	40.38	1:1.68		
CD (P=0.05)			4.09	3.45	3.44			
S.Ed±			1.88	1.59	1.58			
CV%			9.15	6.63	6.06			
Result		NS	S	S	S			

DAS=Days after spray; DBS=Days before spray

#### References

- 1. Basavaraj and Gupta.(2006). Bioefficacy of spinosad (75g.a.i/ha)for the management of E.vittella. *Pesticides Res.J.*18(1):40-42.
- Galvan, T. L., Koch, R.L. and Hutchinson, W.D.(2005).Toxicity of commonly used insecticides in sweet corn and soyabean to multicoloured Asian lady beetle.*J.Econ.Entomol.*, 98:780-789.
- 3. Gopalan, C., Rama Sastri, B.V. and Balasubramanian, S., (2007). Nutritive Value of Indian Foods, *Published by National Institute* of Nutrition.pp:1.
- 4. Krishnaiah, K. (1980). Methodology for assessing crop losses due to pests of

vegetable. Assessmentof crop losses due to pests and diseases.*Proceeding of workshops*, held from Sept.19-30, 1977 at University of *Agri.Sci*, Bangalore, pp: 259-267.

- Mane, S.A., Waghmare, U.M.andYadav, G.A.(2010).Bioefficacyand economics of some newer insecticides against fruit borer (*Eariasvittella* Fab.) of okra.*Pestology*.34 (5):39-42.
- MeenaKumarNarottam, Kanwat Pooranmal, Sharma Kishor Jugaland Maharishi, R.P. (2011).Efficacy and economics of some insecticides, bio-pesticides and their combination against shoot and fruit borer on



4098

okra (AbelmoschusesculentusL.).J.Ent.Res. 35(1):29-34.

- 7. Rawat, R.R and Sahu, H.R. (1973). Estimation of losses in growth and yield of okra due to *Emposcadevastans* distant and *Earias* sp. *Indian J. Ent.*, 35:252-254.
- 8. Sharma, R.K., Sinha, S.R. and Singh Rai (2007).Comparison of various schedules of

newer groups of insecticides against insect pests of okra. *J.Insect Sci*. 20 (2):239-242.

9. Shinde, B.D., Sarkate M.B., Nemade P.W. and Sable, Y.R. (2007). Bioefficacy of Botanical and Synthetic insecticides against okra fruit borer. *Pestology*.31 (3):19-22.

How to cite this article

Srivastava N., Srivastava A.K., Mukherjee A., Gupta P. and Singh S.K. (2014). Efficacy of selected insecticides and neem oilagainst shoot and fruit borer (*Eariasvittella* Fab.) on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). *Int. J. Pharm. Life Sci.*, 5(12):4096-4099.

Source of Support: Nil; Conflict of Interest: None declared

Received: 07.11.14; Revised: 30.11.14; Accepted:10.12.14

© Sakun Publishing House (SPH): IJPLS 4099

